

COLOR SUPERCONDUCTIVITY FROM MAGNETIC INTERACTION INDUCED BY FLOW EQUATIONS

E. GUBANKOVA*

*Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics,
B. Cheremushkinskaya 25, RU-117 218
Moscow, Russia*

Using flow equations, we derive an effective quark-quark interaction and obtain the coupled set of gap equations for the condensates of the CFL phase of massless $N_f = 3$ dense QCD. We find two different sources of the infrared cutoff in magnetic interaction. When the penetration depth of the magnetic field inside the superconductor is less than the coherence length of quark-quark bound state, our results for the gap agree with those of Son ⁴. In the other case, we obtain parametric enhancement of the gap on the coupling constant.

1. Introduction

Quark matter at sufficiently high density is a color superconductor. It has been known for some time ¹, however the value of the gap was too small to be of any practical interest. Recent revision of the subject brought much larger gap ² than previously thought. The consistent numerical value of the gap has been obtained using phenomenological four-fermion interactions ³ and from QCD one-gluon exchange ⁴, though with different parametric dependence on the coupling. Many microscopic calculations of the gap in $N_f = 2$ ^{5,6} and in $N_f = 3$ ⁷ have been done after that.

Microscopic studies rely on the fact that due to asymptotic freedom QCD becomes a weakly interacting theory at high densities and perturbation theory can be used. However, high density quark matter cannot be described as a simple Fermi gas. As known from the BSC theory of superconductivity, the Fermi surface is unstable to an arbitrarily weak attractive interaction. In QCD attractive interaction is mediated by one-gluon exchange between quarks in color antitriplet state.

*present address: center for theoretical physics, massachusetts institute of technology, cambridge, ma 02139. e-mail: elena1@mit.edu

In the language of renormalization group, BCS instability with respect to Cooper pairing is associated with breaking down the conventional perturbation theory in the vicinity of the Fermi surface. This signals formation of a gap which regulates the infrared divergent behavior. It is in full analogy with electron superconductivity in metals. The difference between the two is that the attractive interaction via gluon exchange is already present in QCD rather than being induced by the solid state lattice as in metal. Therefore, properties of a gluon propagating in high density quark matter are essential in calculating the color superconducting gap. It has been suggested by Son (the first reference in ⁴), that the gap is dominated by magnetic long range exchanges, and that the infrared behavior is regulated by dynamic screening in quark plasma. We show that there are two characteristic scales in superconducting medium: the penetration depth of magnetic field inside the superconductor, δ , and the coherence length of the bound quarks (or size of the qq Cooper pair), ξ . The prevailing energy $1/\delta$ or $1/\xi$ regulates the long range behavior of magnetic field in color superconductor.

The purpose of the present work is to obtain an effective microscopic low energy theory of quasiparticles and holes in the vicinity of the Fermi surface. We shall argue that depending on the state of superconductor, there are two different sources of the infrared cutoff in magnetic interaction. At small temperature, the superconductor is of a Pippard type, $\delta \ll \xi$, and magnetic gluon is damped by the quark medium according to Landau damping mechanism. As we increase the temperature, the quark plasma 'dilutes' and the penetration depth of magnetic field grows. It turns out that usually the superconducting condensate melts slower than the penetration depth grows ¹², corresponding to London type of superconductor, $\xi \ll \delta$. In this case magnetic gluon scatters over diquark Cooper pairs and damped by the superconducting medium. Magnetic interaction is regulated by the inverse coherence length, or roughly by the superconducting gap. In these two limiting cases we obtain for the gap the same parametric dependence on coupling constant, though different numerical factors.

We apply flow equations to the Coulomb gauge QCD Hamiltonian with $N_f = 3$ at nonzero quark density. Flow equation method ¹⁰, which is a synthesis of the perturbative renormalization group and the many-body technique, has been successfully applied to the problem of electron superconductivity in metals ¹¹. The main idea of the method is to eliminate interactions which mix states with different particle content (or number of particles) and obtain an effective theory which conserves particle number in each (Fock) sector. This procedure is performed in sequence for matrix

elements with large energy differences down to more degenerate states. In high density QCD, all nonabelian contributions are suppressed, therefore, the quark-gluon coupling is the only term which mediates hopping between states of high- (away from Fermi surface) and low- (near Fermi surface) energies. Eliminating quark-gluon coupling by flow equations we virtually move towards the Fermi surface, in analogy to perturbative renormalization group scaling. Decoupling different energy scales corresponds to integrating out fast moving modes - quarks in the Dirac sea, and obtaining an effective theory for slow modes - quarks close to Fermi surface. As mentioned above, in this scaling down we encounter two characteristic scales: penetration depth of magnetic field and the coherence length of qq bound state. Depending which scale is bigger, we obtain different mechanisms of the infrared cutoff in magnetic interaction and corresponding gaps.

2. Effective microscopic Hamiltonian for color superconductivity

We start with the Coulomb gauge QCD Hamiltonian, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$, with $N_f = 3$ at nonzero quark densities given by

$$H = H_0 + H_I = H_0 + H_{inst} + H_{dyn}, \quad (1)$$

where H_0 is the free Hamiltonian, H_{inst} is the instantaneous interaction describing static properties, and H_{dyn} is the dynamical interaction involving the gluon propagation. The free part is the kinetic energy of quarks and gluons

$$H_0 = \int d\mathbf{x} \bar{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) (-i\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nabla} - \mu\gamma_0 + m) \psi(\mathbf{x}) \\ + \text{Tr} \int d\mathbf{x} (\boldsymbol{\Pi}^2(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{B}_A^2(\mathbf{x})), \quad (2)$$

where the non-abelian magnetic field is $\mathbf{B} = B_i = \nabla_j A_k - \nabla_k A_j + g[A_j, A_k]$, and its abelian part is represented by $\mathbf{B}_A$. The degrees of freedom are the transverse perturbative gluon field $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^a T^a$ ($A \equiv A^{PT}$), its conjugate momentum $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$, and the quark field in the Coulomb gauge. The instantaneous interaction is given by

$$H_{inst} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \bar{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) \gamma_0 T^a \psi(\mathbf{x}) V_{inst}(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|) \bar{\psi}(\mathbf{y}) \gamma_0 T^a \psi(\mathbf{y}), \quad (3)$$

where the leading order kernel is a Coulomb potential defined, together with its Fourier transform, by

$$V_{inst}(r) = -\frac{\alpha_s}{r}, \quad V_{inst}(q) = -\frac{g^2}{q^2}, \quad (4)$$

with $\alpha_s = g^2/4\pi$. The dynamical interaction includes the minimal quark-gluon coupling, V_{qg} , and the non-abelian three- and four-gluon interactions, V_{gg} , i.e. $H_{dyn} = V_{qg} + V_{gg}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} V_{qg} &= -g \int d\mathbf{x} \bar{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) \psi(\mathbf{x}) \\ V_{gg} &= \text{Tr} \int d\mathbf{x} (\mathbf{B}^2(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{B}_A^2(\mathbf{x})) . \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

As mentioned in the introduction, at high quark densities diagrams including the non-abelian interactions are suppressed, henceforth V_{gg} is not considered.

Following the work of Alford, Rajagopal and Wilczek,³ we assume, due to quark interactions, the diquark condensation in scalar $\langle \psi^T C \gamma_5 \psi \rangle$ and pseudoscalar $\langle \psi^T C \psi \rangle$ channels, or equivalently $\langle bb \rangle \neq 0$ and $\langle b^\dagger b^\dagger \rangle \neq 0$. In other words, perturbative vacuum $|0\rangle$ is not a ground state for the system, instead it is the BCS vacuum $|\Omega\rangle$ containing condensates of diquarks. The Fock space is constructed from this vacuum using quasiparticle operators $b^\dagger$ and $d^\dagger$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_s \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} [u(\mathbf{k}, s) b(\mathbf{k}, s) + v(-\mathbf{k}, s) d^\dagger(-\mathbf{k}, s)] e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} \\ \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_a \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega(\mathbf{k})}} [a(\mathbf{k}, a) + a^\dagger(-\mathbf{k}, a)] e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} \\ \Pi(\mathbf{x}) &= -i \sum_a \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \sqrt{\frac{\omega(\mathbf{k})}{2}} [a(\mathbf{k}, a) - a^\dagger(-\mathbf{k}, a)] e^{i\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} , \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $b|\Omega\rangle = d|\Omega\rangle = 0$, and the gluon part has trivial vacuum $a|0\rangle = 0$ with $\omega(\mathbf{k}) = |\mathbf{k}|$. All discrete numbers (helicity, color, and flavor for the quarks and color for the gluons) are collectively denoted as s and a , respectively. The gluon operators $a = a^{IA}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\lambda=1,2} \varepsilon^I(\mathbf{k}, \lambda) a^A(\mathbf{k}, \lambda)$ are transverse, i.e. $\mathbf{k} \cdot a^A(\mathbf{k}) = 0$ and the polarization sum is $D_{IJ}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\lambda=1,2} \varepsilon_I(\mathbf{k}, \lambda) \varepsilon_J(\mathbf{k}, \lambda) = \delta_{IJ} - \hat{k}_I \hat{k}_J$. In the massless basis, $m = 0$, $u(\mathbf{k}) = v(\mathbf{k}) = (L(\mathbf{k}), R(\mathbf{k}))$ with the left Weyl spinor $L(\mathbf{k}) = (-\sin(\Theta(\mathbf{k})/2) \exp(-i\phi(\mathbf{k})), \cos(\Theta(\mathbf{k})/2))$.

Then, in the mean field approximation, the Hamiltonian Eq. (1) can be written in terms of creation/annihilation operators as

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} (k - \mu) b^\dagger(\mathbf{k})_\alpha^i b(\mathbf{k})_\alpha^i + \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} (\mu - k) b^\dagger(\mathbf{k})_\alpha^i b(\mathbf{k})_\alpha^i \\ &+ \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} (k + \mu) d^\dagger(\mathbf{k})_\alpha^i d(\mathbf{k})_\alpha^i + \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} k a^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) a(\mathbf{k}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \Delta_{ij}^{\alpha\gamma}(\mathbf{p}) T_{\alpha\beta}^A T_{\gamma\delta}^A e^{-i\phi(\mathbf{p})} \left(b(\mathbf{p})_\beta^i b(-\mathbf{p})_\delta^j + d^\dagger(\mathbf{p})_\beta^i d^\dagger(-\mathbf{p})_\delta^j \right) + c.c. \\
& + \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{3}{4} W(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) e^{i\phi(\mathbf{k})} e^{-i\phi(\mathbf{p})} \\
& \times b^\dagger(\mathbf{k})_\alpha^i T_{\alpha\beta}^A b(\mathbf{p})_\beta^i b^\dagger(-\mathbf{k})_\gamma^j T_{\gamma\delta}^A b(-\mathbf{p})_\delta^j + \dots \\
& + \int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} g(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}) \\
& \times b^\dagger(\mathbf{k})_\alpha^i T_{\alpha\beta}^A b(\mathbf{p})_\beta^i \frac{a^{IA}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})}{2 |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}|} (u^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) \alpha^I u(\mathbf{p})) + \dots, \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

we explicitly display color (α, β) , flavor (i, j) and polarization I indices. The first three terms describe kinetic energies of particles, holes and antiparticles, respectively. The diquark condensate Δ and the effective quark-quark interaction W are unknown parameters, and dots show that there are other possible terms which we ignored.

Color-superconducting condensate $\Delta_{\alpha\gamma}^{ij}$ is a $N_c \times N_c$ matrix in fundamental color space $(\alpha, \gamma = 1, \dots, N_c)$, and a $N_f \times N_f$ matrix in flavor space $(i, j = 1, \dots, N_f)$. It can be parametrized for $N_f = 3$ as ³

$$\Delta_{\alpha\gamma}^{ij}(\mathbf{p}) = 3 \left(\frac{1}{3} \left[\Delta_8(\mathbf{p}) + \frac{1}{8} \Delta_1(\mathbf{p}) \right] \delta_\alpha^i \delta_\gamma^j + \frac{1}{8} \Delta_1(\mathbf{p}) \delta_\gamma^i \delta_\alpha^j \right), \tag{8}$$

where Δ_1 and Δ_8 are the gaps in the singlet and octet channels, respectively. We will also use the parametrization in terms of symmetric, $\Delta_{(6,6)}$, and anti-symmetric, $\Delta_{(\bar{3},\bar{3})}$, in color and flavor gap functions, $\Delta_{\alpha\gamma}^{ij} = \Delta_{(6,6)}(\delta_\alpha^i \delta_\gamma^j + \delta_\gamma^i \delta_\alpha^j) + \Delta_{(\bar{3},\bar{3})}(\delta_\alpha^i \delta_\gamma^j - \delta_\gamma^i \delta_\alpha^j)$. The connection with the eigenvalue gaps is given by $\Delta_{(\bar{3},\bar{3})} = 1/2(\Delta_8 - 1/4\Delta_1)$, and $\Delta_{(6,6)} = 1/2(\Delta_8 + 1/2\Delta_1)$.

Replacing color α and flavor i indices with a single color-flavor index ρ , $\Delta_{\alpha\gamma}^{ij}$ is diagonal in the CFL basis ⁹

$$b(\mathbf{k})_\alpha^i = \sum_\rho \frac{\lambda_{i\alpha}^\rho}{\sqrt{2}} b(\mathbf{k})^\rho, \tag{9}$$

where λ^ρ are the Gell-Mann matrices for $\rho = 1, \dots, 8$ and $\lambda_{i\alpha}^9 = \sqrt{2/3} \delta_\alpha^i$ for $\rho = 9$, and strictly speaking we should have used different letter notation for the CFL operators b^ρ . Then, $\Delta_{\alpha\gamma}^{ij}(\mathbf{p}) b(\mathbf{p})_\beta^i b(-\mathbf{p})_\delta^j T_{\alpha\beta}^A T_{\gamma\delta}^A = 1/2 \sum_\rho \Delta_\rho(\mathbf{p}) b(\mathbf{p})^\rho b(-\mathbf{p})^\rho$, and the Hamiltonian Eq. (7) is given in the CFL basis by

$$\begin{aligned}
H = & \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \rho} |k - \mu| b_\rho^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) b_\rho(\mathbf{k}) + \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \rho} (k + \mu) d_\rho^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) d_\rho(\mathbf{k}) + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} k a^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) a(\mathbf{k}) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{p}, \rho} \Delta_\rho(\mathbf{p}) e^{-i\phi(\mathbf{p})} (b_\rho(\mathbf{p}) b_\rho(-\mathbf{p}) + d_\rho^\dagger(\mathbf{p}) d_\rho^\dagger(-\mathbf{p})) + c.c.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{3}{4} \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \rho, \rho'} W^{\rho\rho'}(k, p) \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{i\alpha}^\rho \lambda_{j\gamma}^\rho \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{i\beta}^{\rho'} \lambda_{j\delta}^{\rho'} T_{\alpha\beta}^A T_{\gamma\delta}^A e^{i\phi(\mathbf{k})} e^{-i\phi(\mathbf{p})} \\
& \times b_\rho^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) b_{\rho'}(\mathbf{p}) b_\rho^\dagger(-\mathbf{k}) b_{\rho'}(-\mathbf{p}) + \dots \\
& + \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \rho, \rho'} g^{\rho\rho'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}) \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{i\alpha}^\rho T_{\alpha\beta}^A \lambda_{i\beta}^{\rho'} \\
& \times b_\rho^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) b_{\rho'}(\mathbf{p}) \frac{a^{IA}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})}{2 |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}|} (u^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) \alpha^I u(\mathbf{p})) + \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where we used notation $\sum_{\mathbf{k}} = \int d\mathbf{k}/(2\pi)^3$. As in ³, we change basis to creation/annihilation operators y and z for quasiparticles (quasiholes) and quasiantiparticles, respectively,

$$\begin{aligned}
y_\rho(\mathbf{k}) &= \cos(\Theta_\rho^y(\mathbf{k})) b_\rho^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) + \sin(\Theta_\rho^y(\mathbf{k})) \exp(i\xi_\rho^y(\mathbf{k})) b_\rho^\dagger(-\mathbf{k}) \\
z_\rho(\mathbf{k}) &= \cos(\Theta_\rho^z(\mathbf{k})) b_\rho(\mathbf{k}) + \sin(\Theta_\rho^z(\mathbf{k})) \exp(i\xi_\rho^z(\mathbf{k})) b_\rho^\dagger(-\mathbf{k}),
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

with $y_\rho(\mathbf{k})|0\rangle = z_\rho(\mathbf{k})|0\rangle = 0$. In order to absorb the condensate term into a new free Hamiltonian, we choose ³ $\cos(2\Theta_\rho^y(\mathbf{k})) = |k - \mu|/E_\rho^{(-)}(\mathbf{k})$, $\cos(2\Theta_\rho^z(\mathbf{k})) = |k + \mu|/E_\rho^{(+)}(\mathbf{k})$, and $\sin(2\Theta_\rho^y(\mathbf{k})) = \Delta_\rho(\mathbf{k})/E_\rho^{(-)}(\mathbf{k})$, $\sin(2\Theta_\rho^z(\mathbf{k})) = \Delta_\rho(\mathbf{k})/E_\rho^{(+)}(\mathbf{k})$; $\xi_\rho^y(\mathbf{k}) = \phi(\mathbf{k}) + \pi$, $\xi_\rho^z(\mathbf{k}) = -\phi(\mathbf{k})$. As the result of this transformation, a new free Hamiltonian is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{H}_0 &= \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \rho} E_\rho^{(-)}(\mathbf{k}) b_\rho^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) b_\rho(\mathbf{k}) + \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \rho} E_\rho^{(+)}(\mathbf{k}) d_\rho^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) d_\rho(\mathbf{k}) \\
&+ \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \omega(\mathbf{k}) a^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) a(\mathbf{k}),
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $E_\rho^{(-)} = \sqrt{(k - \mu)^2 + \Delta_\rho(\mathbf{k})^2}$, $E_\rho^{(+)} = \sqrt{(k + \mu)^2 + \Delta_\rho(\mathbf{k})^2}$, and $\omega(\mathbf{k}) = k$. The effective Hamiltonian Eq. (10) is given by

$$H = \tilde{H}_0 + V_{qq} + V_{qg}, \tag{13}$$

with transformations of Eq. (11) made in the diquark interaction V_{qq} and the quark-gluon coupling V_{qg} , which are the final two terms in Eq. (10). In the next section, our aim is to determine the unknown parameters of the effective Hamiltonian Eq. (13) using flow equations.

3. Flow equations

Flow equations are written for the unknown functions $W^{\rho\rho'}, g^{\rho\rho'}, \Delta_\rho$ of the effective Hamiltonian Eq. (13). Calculations are performed using y, z variables and the vacuum $|0\rangle$. Similar calculations have been done in ⁸.

The first order flow equations $dV_{qg}/dl = [\eta, \tilde{H}_0]$, $\eta = [\tilde{H}_0, V_{qg}]$ eliminate the quark-gluon coupling V_{qg} . The generator of the transformation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta = & \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \rho, \rho'} \eta^{\rho\rho'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}) \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{i\alpha}^\rho T_{\alpha\beta}^A \lambda_{i\beta}^{\rho'} \\ & \times b_\rho^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) b_{\rho'}(\mathbf{p}) \frac{a^{IA}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})}{2 |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}|} (u^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) \alpha^I u(\mathbf{p})), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

then for the generator $\eta^{\rho\rho'}$ and coupling $g^{\rho\rho'}$ functions flow equations are written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dg^{\rho\rho'}}{dl} &= -(E_\rho(\mathbf{k}) - E_{\rho'}(\mathbf{p}) - \omega(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}))^2 g^{\rho\rho'} \\ \eta^{\rho\rho'} &= (E_\rho(\mathbf{k}) - E_{\rho'}(\mathbf{p}) - \omega(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})) g^{\rho\rho'}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The solution of Eq. (15) reads

$$g^{\rho\rho'}(l) = g(0) \exp \left(-(E_\rho(\mathbf{k}) - E_{\rho'}(\mathbf{p}) - \omega(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}))^2 l \right), \quad (16)$$

where $g(0) = g$ is the bare coupling constant. As $l \rightarrow \infty$ the coupling is eliminated as long as the states in the exponent are not degenerate.

Using the generator Eq. (14) and the quark-gluon coupling Eq. (10), we write the second order flow equations for the effective quark-quark interaction, $dV_{qq}/dl = [\eta, V_{qq}]_{two-body}$, and for the diquark self-energy, $d\Sigma_q/dl = [\eta, V_{qq}]_{one-body}$. Flow equation for the diquark interaction is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dV_{qq}}{dl} = & - \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \rho, \rho'} b_\rho^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) b_\rho^\dagger(-\mathbf{k}) b_{\rho'}(\mathbf{p}) b_{\rho'}(-\mathbf{p}) \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{i\alpha}^\rho \lambda_{j\gamma}^\rho \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{i\beta}^{\rho'} \lambda_{j\delta}^{\rho'} T_{\alpha\beta}^A T_{\gamma\delta}^A \\ & (u^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) \alpha^I u(\mathbf{p})) (u^\dagger(-\mathbf{k}) \alpha^J u(-\mathbf{p})) \frac{D_{IJ}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})}{2\omega(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})} \\ & \left(\eta^{\rho\rho'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}) g^{\rho'\rho}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}) + \eta^{\rho'\rho}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}) g^{\rho\rho'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Integrating the flow equation Eq. (17) over $l = [0, \infty)$, with the final value $V_{qq} = V_{qq}(l = \infty)$, and adding the instantaneous interaction Eq. (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} V_{qq} = & - \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \rho, \rho'} b_\rho^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) b_\rho^\dagger(-\mathbf{k}) b_{\rho'}(\mathbf{p}) b_{\rho'}(-\mathbf{p}) \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{i\alpha}^\rho \lambda_{j\gamma}^\rho \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{i\beta}^{\rho'} \lambda_{j\delta}^{\rho'} T_{\alpha\beta}^A T_{\gamma\delta}^A \\ & \left(V^{\rho\rho'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) (u^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) \alpha^I u(\mathbf{p})) (u^\dagger(-\mathbf{k}) \alpha^J u(-\mathbf{p})) D_{IJ}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}) \right. \\ & \left. + V(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) (u^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) u(\mathbf{p})) (u^\dagger(-\mathbf{k}) u(-\mathbf{p})) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} V^{\rho\rho'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) &= -\frac{g^2}{2} \frac{1}{(E^\rho(\mathbf{k}) - E^{\rho'}(\mathbf{p}))^2 + \omega_M(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})^2} \\ V(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) &= \frac{g^2}{2} \frac{1}{\omega_E(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where we have used solutions for $\eta^{\rho\rho'}$ and $g^{\rho\rho'}$, Eqs. (15,16). Energies for magnetic and electric gluons, ω_M and ω_E respectively, are specified further. Keeping only left-left components, matrix elements are given by

$$\begin{aligned} (u^\dagger(\mathbf{k})\alpha^I u(\mathbf{p}))(u^\dagger(-\mathbf{k})\alpha^J u(-\mathbf{p}))D_{IJ}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}) &= \\ (-1)e^{-i\phi(\mathbf{p})}e^{i\phi(\mathbf{k})} \left(-\frac{3 - \hat{k} \cdot \hat{p}}{2} + \frac{1 - \hat{k} \cdot \hat{p}}{2} \frac{(k+p)^2}{(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})^2} \right) \\ (u^\dagger(\mathbf{k})u(\mathbf{p}))(u^\dagger(-\mathbf{k})u(-\mathbf{p})) &= (-1)e^{-i\phi(\mathbf{p})}e^{i\phi(\mathbf{k})} \left(\frac{1 + \hat{k} \cdot \hat{p}}{2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where we used Fierz transform $(\bar{u}(\mathbf{k})O^A u(\mathbf{p}))(\bar{u}(-\mathbf{k})O^B u(-\mathbf{p})) = \epsilon \sum_{CD} f_{CD}^{AB} (\bar{u}_C(\mathbf{p})O^C u(-\mathbf{p}))(\bar{u}(-\mathbf{k})O^D u_C(\mathbf{k}))$, where $\epsilon = -1$ for $O^A, O^B = \gamma^\mu$, and $C\bar{u}^T = u_C$, $u^T C = \bar{u}_C$. For the above matrix elements the coefficients f in Fierz transform $O^A \times O^B \rightarrow O^C \times O^D$ are given by $\gamma_0 \times \gamma_0 \rightarrow 1/4(1 \times 1 - \gamma_5 \times \gamma_5) + 1/4\sigma_{\mu\nu} \times \sigma^{\mu\nu}$, and $\gamma_i \times \gamma^j \rightarrow \delta_i^j 1/4(1 \times 1 - \gamma_5 \times \gamma_5) + \delta_i^j 1/4\sigma_{\mu\nu} \times \sigma^{\mu\nu} + 1/4(\sigma_{i\mu} \times \sigma^{j\mu} + \sigma_{\mu i} \times \sigma^{\mu j} - \sigma_{i\mu} \times \sigma^{\mu j} - \sigma_{\mu i} \times \sigma^{j\mu})$, where $\mu, \nu = (0, i = 1, 2, 3)$, $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = 1/2[\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]$. The diquark condensates are 1×1 -pseudoscalar $(\bar{u}_C u)$, $\gamma_5 \times \gamma_5$ -scalar $(\bar{u}_C \gamma u)$, and $\sigma_{\mu\nu} \times \sigma^{\mu\nu}$ -vector $(\bar{u}_C \sigma_{\mu\nu} u)$. Performing algebra with λ -matrices in Eq. (18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} V_{qq} &= - \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}} (-1)e^{-i\phi(\mathbf{p})}e^{i\phi(\mathbf{k})} \\ &\left(b_8^\dagger(\mathbf{k})b_8^\dagger(-\mathbf{k})b_1(\mathbf{p})b_1(-\mathbf{p})F^{81}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) + b_1^\dagger(\mathbf{k})b_1^\dagger(-\mathbf{k})b_8(\mathbf{p})b_8(-\mathbf{p})F^{18}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - b_8^\dagger(\mathbf{k})b_8^\dagger(-\mathbf{k})b_8(\mathbf{p})b_8(-\mathbf{p})2F^{88}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where we introduced

$$F^{\rho\rho'} = V_{dyn}^{\rho\rho'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) \left(\frac{3 - \hat{k} \cdot \hat{p}}{2} \right) + V_{inst}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) \left(\frac{1 + \hat{k} \cdot \hat{p}}{2} \right), \quad (22)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V_{dyn}^{\rho\rho'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) &= \frac{2g^2}{3} \frac{1}{(E^\rho(\mathbf{k}) - E^{\rho'}(\mathbf{p}))^2 + \omega_M(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})^2} \\ V_{inst}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) &= \frac{2g^2}{3} \frac{1}{\omega_E(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Dynamically generated by flow equations interaction describes magnetic gluon exchange, and the instantaneous interaction -electric gluon exchange. Comparing Eq. (21) with Eq. (10) for V_{qq} , the effective quark-quark interaction is given by

$$W^{\rho\rho'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) = -F^{\rho\rho'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) = -V_{dyn}^{\rho\rho'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) - V_{inst}^{\rho\rho'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}), \quad (24)$$

in the collinear limit $\hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} = 1$. This interaction is attractive in the singlet and octet channels. Note that $W^{11} = 0$, and condensation in singlet channel is driven by W^{81} .

Dynamical magnetic interaction, Eq. (23), has the form $-1/(\mathbf{q}^2 + \delta E^2)$ instead of $-1/(\mathbf{q}^2 - \delta E^2)$, that is produced by the equal time perturbation theory, where δE is the energy difference of in- and out-going quarks. The latter has the pole, therefore usually δE is neglected near the Fermi surface. Our interaction is regular, and δE will play an important role.

In order to incorporate effects of the dense quark medium, we include polarization operators for electric and magnetic gluons, modifying the gluon single energy as done in the work of Pisarski and Rischke ⁵

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\omega_M(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})^2} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})^4}{(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})^6 + M^4(E(\mathbf{k}) + E(\mathbf{p}))^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})^4}{(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})^6 + M^4(E(\mathbf{k}) - E(\mathbf{p}))^2} \right) \\ \frac{1}{\omega_E(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})^2} &= \frac{1}{(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})^2 + 3m_g^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where $M^2 = (3\pi/4)m_g^2$, $m_g^2 = N_f g^2 \mu^2 / (6\pi)^2$. Eq. (25) is used in the complete diquark interaction Eq. (23).

Quark self-energy has $b^\dagger b$ and $bb + b^\dagger b^\dagger$ components associated with normal and anomalous propagation, respectively. For the anomalous propagation self-energy the flow equation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\Sigma_{qq}}{dl} &= - \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \rho, \rho'} \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{i\alpha}^\rho \lambda_{j\gamma}^\rho \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{i\beta}^{\rho'} \lambda_{j\delta}^{\rho'} T_{\alpha\beta}^A T_{\gamma\delta}^A \\ &\quad (< b_\rho^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) b_\rho^\dagger(-\mathbf{k}) > b_{\rho'}(\mathbf{p}) b_{\rho'}(-\mathbf{p}) + < b_{\rho'}(\mathbf{p}) b_{\rho'}(-\mathbf{p}) > b_\rho^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) b_\rho^\dagger(-\mathbf{k})) \\ &\quad (u^\dagger(\mathbf{k}) \alpha^I u(\mathbf{p})) (u^\dagger(-\mathbf{k}) \alpha^J u(-\mathbf{p})) \frac{D_{IJ}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})}{2\omega(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})} \\ &\quad \left(\eta^{\rho\rho'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}) g^{\rho'\rho}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}) + \eta^{\rho'\rho}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}) g^{\rho\rho'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}) \right) \\ &\quad + (Terms \sim < dd >, < d^\dagger d^\dagger >). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

We neglect the contribution of antiparticles, since it is regular near the Fermi surface, $< dd > \sim \sin(2\Theta^z) \sim \Delta/2\mu$, and does not change much the

condensate of particles. The anomalous propagator for particles is given by

$$\langle b_\rho^\dagger(\mathbf{k})b_{\rho'}^\dagger(-\mathbf{k}) \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\sin(2\Theta_\rho(\mathbf{k}))\exp(-i\xi_\rho(\mathbf{k})), \quad (27)$$

where the average is calculated in $|0\rangle$ vacuum.

Integrating over $l = [0, 1/\lambda^2]$, where λ is the UV cut-off, and adding the self-energy instantaneous term coming from normal-ordering Eq. (3) in the $|0\rangle$ vacuum, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{qq}(\lambda) = & \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \rho, \rho'} \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{i\alpha}^\rho \lambda_{j\gamma}^\rho \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{i\beta}^{\rho'} \lambda_{j\delta}^{\rho'} T_{\alpha\beta}^A T_{\gamma\delta}^A \langle b_\rho^\dagger(\mathbf{k})b_\rho^\dagger(-\mathbf{k}) \rangle b_{\rho'}(\mathbf{p})b_{\rho'}(-\mathbf{p}) \\ & \left(V^{\rho\rho'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p})(u^\dagger(\mathbf{k})\alpha^I u(\mathbf{p}))(u^\dagger(-\mathbf{k})\alpha^J u(-\mathbf{p}))D_{IJ}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}) \right. \\ & \left. + V(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p})(u^\dagger(\mathbf{k})u(\mathbf{p}))(u^\dagger(-\mathbf{k})u(-\mathbf{p})) \right) R^{\rho\rho'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}; \lambda) + c.c., \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where $V^{\rho, \rho'}$ and V are given in Eq. (19), and the the UV regulator is given by

$$R^{\rho\rho'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}; \lambda) = \exp\left(-[(E^\rho(\mathbf{k}) - E^{\rho'}(\mathbf{p}))^2 + \omega_M(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})^2]/\lambda^2\right), \quad (29)$$

Change in sign appear due to adopting conventional scaling up as in the perturbation theory, instead of scaling down in flow equations. Performing calculations with λ -matrices, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{qq} = & \sum_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}} (-1)e^{-i\phi(\mathbf{p})}e^{i\phi(\mathbf{k})} \left(b_1(\mathbf{p})b_1(-\mathbf{p}) \langle b_8^\dagger(\mathbf{k})b_8^\dagger(-\mathbf{k}) \rangle F^{81}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) \right. \\ & \left. + b_8(\mathbf{p})b_8(-\mathbf{p})[\langle b_1^\dagger(\mathbf{k})b_1^\dagger(-\mathbf{k}) \rangle F^{18}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}) - \langle b_8^\dagger(\mathbf{k})b_8^\dagger(-\mathbf{k}) \rangle 2F^{88}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p})] \right) \\ & \times R^{\rho\rho'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}; \lambda) + c.c.. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Comparing Eq. (30) with Eq. (10) for the gap functions, we obtain the system of gap equations

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1(\mathbf{p}) &= 8G^{81}(\mathbf{p}) \\ \Delta_8(\mathbf{p}) &= G^{18}(\mathbf{p}) - 2G^{88}(\mathbf{p}), \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where

$$G^{\rho\rho'}(\mathbf{p}) = -\frac{1}{4}\int \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2}\sin(2\Theta_\rho(\mathbf{k}))F^{\rho\rho'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p})R^{\rho\rho'}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}; \lambda), \quad (32)$$

with $F^{\rho\rho'}$ is given by Eq. (22), and $R^{\rho\rho'}$ by Eq. (29).

4. Solving the system of gap equations

We solve approximately the system of gap equations Eq. (31) in two limiting cases of the Pipperd and London type superconductor. Magnetic interaction Eq. (23) is regulated by the term generated by flow equations, with dispersion $q \sim E$, and by the magnetic gluon polarization operator, Eq. (25), with dispersion $q \sim E^{1/3}$. When the first term dominates, superconductor is of London type, the second term-Pipperd type.

We take instead of the smooth regulator R , Eq. (29), a sharp cut-off, $|k - \mu| \leq \delta$. Near the Fermi surface the integration measure is given by $\int d\mathbf{k} = 2\pi\mu^2 \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} d(k - \mu) \int_{-1}^1 d\cos\theta$, and since the integral is even in $(k - \mu)$ one has $\int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \rightarrow 2 \int_0^{\delta}$. In the denominator of F , Eq. (22), we approximate $(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p})^2 = 2\mu^2(1 - \cos\theta)$.

Performing the θ -integration, we get for the Pipperd superconductor:

$$G^{\rho\rho'}(\mathbf{p}) = -\frac{1}{4}\bar{g}^2 \int_0^{\delta} \frac{d(k - \mu)}{E_{\rho}(\mathbf{k})} \Delta_{\rho}(\mathbf{k}) \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{b^2 \mu^2}{|E(\mathbf{k})^2 - E(\mathbf{p})^2|} \right), \quad (33)$$

where

$$\bar{g} = \frac{g}{3\sqrt{2}\pi}; \quad b_M = 32\pi \left(\frac{2}{N_f g^2} \right), \quad b_{M+E} = 256\pi^4 \left(\frac{2}{N_f g^2} \right)^{5/2}, \quad (34)$$

and superscript M and $M + E$ means that only magnetic or magnetic and electric components are taken. For the London superconductor:

$$G^{\rho\rho'}(\mathbf{p}) = -\frac{1}{4}\bar{g}^2 \int_0^{\delta} \frac{d(k - \mu)}{E_{\rho}(\mathbf{k})} \Delta_{\rho}(\mathbf{k}) \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{b^2 \mu^2}{(E_{\rho}(\mathbf{k}) - E_{\rho'}(\mathbf{p}))^2} \right), \quad (35)$$

where

$$\bar{g} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{6}\pi}; \quad b_M = 2, \quad b_{M+E} = 4\pi \left(\frac{2}{N_f g^2} \right)^{1/2}. \quad (36)$$

Substituting $G^{\rho\rho'}$ Eqs. (33,35) into Eq. (31), we have the system of Eliashberg type of equations. Different effective coupling $\bar{g}$ is obtained because of different dispersion law in the two cases. The coupling $\bar{g}$ defines the exponent of the solution for the gap Δ and b the preexponential factor.

If we are interested only in the correct exponential dependence, we can neglect ρ dependence by the quark energies in the anomalous quark propagator (sin factor in G) and in the gluon propagator (under the $\ln$). Indeed, when estimating the momentum-independent gap, double logarithm arises from the region $\Delta \ll k \ll \mu$ where the integral reduces to

$$\Delta \sim \bar{g}^2 \int_{\Delta}^{\delta} \frac{d(k - \mu)}{(k - \mu)} \ln \left(\frac{b\mu}{|k - \mu|} \right) \Delta, \quad (37)$$

with the solution $\Delta \sim b\mu \exp(-\sqrt{2}/\bar{g})$; i.e. double logarithm responsible for the exponential solution does not depend on the quark energies. To calculate the preexponential factor correctly, one should make the rescaling in the integral as suggested by Schäfer ⁷.

In order to convert the integral gap equation into differential one, we split the logarithm in $G^{\rho\rho'}$ as suggested by Son ⁴

$$\ln\left(\frac{b\mu}{E(\mathbf{p})}\right)\theta(p-k) + \ln\left(\frac{b\mu}{E(\mathbf{k})}\right)\theta(k-p), \quad (38)$$

that gives the same expression in two cases, Eq. (33) and Eq. (35). Coupled gap equations Eq. (31) decouple for the antitriplet and sextet in color and flavor gaps, introduced after Eq. (8),

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{(\bar{3},\bar{3})}(\mathbf{p}) &= \bar{g}^2 \ln\left(\frac{b\mu}{E(\mathbf{p})}\right) \int_0^{(p-\mu)} \frac{d(k-\mu)}{E(\mathbf{k})} \Delta_{(\bar{3},\bar{3})}(\mathbf{k}) \\ &\quad + \bar{g}^2 \int_{(p-\mu)}^\delta \frac{d(k-\mu)}{E(\mathbf{k})} \ln\left(\frac{b\mu}{E(\mathbf{k})}\right) \Delta_{(\bar{3},\bar{3})}(\mathbf{k}) \\ \Delta_{(6,6)}(\mathbf{p}) &= -\frac{\bar{g}^2}{2} \ln\left(\frac{b\mu}{E(\mathbf{p})}\right) \int_0^{(p-\mu)} \frac{d(k-\mu)}{E(\mathbf{k})} \Delta_{(6,6)}(\mathbf{k}) \\ &\quad - \frac{\bar{g}^2}{2} \int_{(p-\mu)}^\delta \frac{d(k-\mu)}{E(\mathbf{k})} \ln\left(\frac{b\mu}{E(\mathbf{k})}\right) \Delta_{(6,6)}(\mathbf{k}). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Introducing the variable, as by Pisarski and Rischke ⁵,

$$x = \ln\left(\frac{2b\mu}{p-\mu+E(\mathbf{p})}\right), \quad (40)$$

the integration measure is given by $d(k-\mu)/E(\mathbf{k}) = dx/x$, and the integral equations, Eq. (39), reduce to differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \Delta_{(\bar{3},\bar{3})} + \bar{g}^2 \Delta_{(\bar{3},\bar{3})} &= 0 \\ \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \Delta_{(6,6)} - \frac{\bar{g}^2}{2} \Delta_{(6,6)} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

with initial conditions at the Fermi surface $d\Delta/dx(x=x_0)=0$ and $\Delta(x=x_0)=\Delta_0$; also away from the Fermi surface $\Delta(x=0)=0$. The negative sign in the second equation means the repulsion in the sextet channel. As function of momentum there is a trivial solution in the sextet channel. In the antitriplet channel, the solution reads

$$\Delta_{(\bar{3},\bar{3})} = \Delta_0 \sin(\bar{g}x), \quad \Delta_0 = 2b\mu \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{2\bar{g}}\right), \quad (42)$$

where $\bar{g}$ and b are given in Eqs. (34,36).

5. Conclusions

Using flow equations, we derived an effective quark-quark interaction and obtained the coupled set of gap equations for the condensates of the CFL phase of massless $N_f = 3$ dense QCD. Diquark interaction, generated dynamically by flow equations, is a long-range magnetic gluon exchange regulated by two different sources in the infrared region. One term describes the retardation effects of a magnetic gluon caused by Landau damping in dense quark-gluon plasma (normal phase). At small temperature, far below the melting of superconducting condensate $T \ll T_0$, Landau damping is the dominant mechanism in the infrared, that corresponds to the Pipperd type of superconductor. The other term describes retardation due to propagating of a magnetic gluon in a superconducting matter, i.e. through the multiple scattering of a gluon at diquark Cooper pairs. This mechanism is dominant at temperatures close to a melting point of superconducting condensate, $T - T_0 \ll T_0$, and corresponds to the London type of superconductor.

We obtain approximate analytical solutions of the gap equations in these two limiting cases. The dominant contribution to the condensate comes in the color antitriplet, flavor antitriplet channel. The color sextet, flavor sextet contribution is small but non-zero. In the color and flavor antisymmetric channel, we obtain parametric enhancement of the London type condensate

$$\Delta_0 \sim \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\pi^2}{g}\right), \quad (43)$$

versus the Pipperd type condensate

$$\Delta_0 \sim \exp\left(-\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\pi^2}{g}\right). \quad (44)$$

The same conclusion holds for $N_f = 2$. Numerically, the $(\bar{3}, \bar{3})$ condensates are almost the same for the London and Pipperd type 2SC superconductors, $N_f = 2$. However, the condensate of the London type superconductor is sufficiently larger than of the Pipperd superconductor in the CFL phase, $N_f = 3$. This has important implications, which regime is energetically favorable and will actually be realized in neutron stars.

Independence of the gap on number of flavors for magnetic field and slow dependence on N_f for the sum of magnetic and electric fields in London type superconductors works in favor that the CFL phase will be observed in neutron stars, and that there is no window for the 2SC phase¹³.

Our calculations have been done at zero temperature. Rigorous calculations of the condensates which include calculations of the penetration

depth of magnetic field and coherence length at non-zero temperature are necessary. Qualitatively it will not affect our results, since our conclusions rely on similar trends known in metal superconductors, which are derived from Landau-Ginzburg theory and are proven experimentally¹².

We included all possible condensates, $\langle qCq \rangle$, $\langle qC\gamma_5 q \rangle$ and $\langle qC\sigma_{\mu\nu}q \rangle$, which do not mix left and right components, that accounted for the angle dependence in the gap equations. It is interesting to add the instanton-induced 't Hooft interaction and to consider simultaneously the chiral condensation in $\bar{q}q$ channel. Generalization to a non-zero strange quark mass, and adding kaon condensates is important for neutron star phenomenology.

References

1. B. C. Barrois, *Nucl. Phys.* **B129**, 390 (1977); S. C. Frautschi, in *Hadronic Matter at Extreme Energy Density*, edited by N. Cabibbo and L. Sertorio (Plenum, New York, 1980); D. Bailin and A. Love, *Phys. Rep.* **107**, 325 (1984).
2. M. G. Alford, K. Rajagopal and F. Wilczek, *Phys. Lett.* **B422**, 247 (1998); R. Rapp, T. Schäfer, E. V. Shuryak and M. Velkovsky, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 53 (1998).
3. M. Alford, K. Rajagopal and F. Wilczek, *Nucl. Phys.* **B537**, 443 (1999);
4. D. T. Son, *Phys. Rev.* **D59**, 094019 (1999); R. D. Pisarski and D. H. Rischke, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 37 (1999).
5. T. Schafer and F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev.* **D60**, 114033 (1999); D. K. Hong, V. A. Miransky, I. A. Shovkovy and L. C. R. Wijewardhana, *ibid.* **D61**, 056001 (2000); **D62**, 059903(E) (2000); R. D. Pisarski and D. H. Rischke, *ibid.* **D61**, 051501 (2000); *ibid.* **D61** 074017 (2000).
6. S. D. Hsu and M. Schwetz, *Nucl. Phys.* **B572**, 211 (2000); W. E. Brown, J. T. Liu and H. C. Ren, *Phys. Rev.* **D61**, 114012 (2000).
7. K. Rajagopal and E. Shuster, *Phys. Rev.* **D62**, 085007 (2000); I. A. Shovkovy and L. C. R. Wijewardhana, *Phys. Lett.* **B470**, 189 (1999); T. Schäfer, *Nucl. Phys.* **B575**, 269 (2000).
8. E. Gubankova, hep-ph/0112213.
9. D. T. Son and M. A. Stephanov, *Phys. Rev.* **D61**, 074012 (2000); **D62**, 059902(E) (2000).
10. F. Wegner, *Ann. Phys. (Leipzig)* **3**, 77 (1994); *Physics Reports* **348**, 77 (2001).
11. P. Lenz and F. Wegner, cond-mat/9604087; for a recent work see I. Grote, E. Koerding, F. Wegner, cond-mat/0106604.
12. A. A. Abrikosov, L. P. Gorkov, and I. E. Dzyaloshinski, "Methods of quantum field theory in statistical physics," Dover publications, Inc., New York.
13. M. Alford and K. Rajagopal, *JHEP* **0206**, 031 (2002).